UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/577,959  | 03/08/2007  | Hisanori Takahashi   | 12844.102USWO       | 1390             |  |
| 52835 7590 02/17/2009<br>HAMRE, SCHUMANN, MUELLER & LARSON, P.C.<br>P.O. BOX 2902 |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
|   |             |                      | COHEN, LEE S        |                  |  |
| MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0902  |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|   |             |                      | 3739                |                  |  |
|   |             |                      |                     |                  |  |
|   |             |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|   |             |                      | 02/17/2009          | PAPER            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Office Action Summary   |  | App   | olication No.  | Applicant(s)  | Applicant(s)     |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|---|------------------|--|--|--|
|   |  | 10/   | 577,959  | TAKAHASHI ET  | TAKAHASHI ET AL. |  |  |  |
|   |  | Exa   | miner  | Art Unit  |                  |  |  |  |
|   |  | Lee   | S. Cohen   | 3739  |                  |  |  |  |
| Period fo   | The MAILING DATE of this commun<br>r Reply   | ication appears   | on the cover sheet   | with the correspondence a   | ddress           |  |  |  |
| WHIC<br>- Exter<br>after<br>- If NO<br>- Failui<br>Any r  | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F<br>CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M<br>Issions of time may be available under the provisions<br>SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come<br>period for reply is specified above, the maximum stee<br>to reply within the set or extended period for reply<br>eply received by the Office later than three months<br>and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | IAILING DATE (<br>of 37 CFR 1.136(a). I<br>nunication.<br>atutory period will appl<br>will, by statute, cause | OF THIS COMMUN<br>In no event, however, may<br>y and will expire SIX (6) Mo<br>the application to become | NICATION. a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |                  |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |   |  |   |                  |  |  |  |
| 1) 又  | Responsive to communication(s) file  | ed on <i>23 Januar</i>  | v 2009   |   |                  |  |  |  |
| ′=  | •  | 2b)⊠ This actic   |  |   |                  |  |  |  |
| ′=  |  | / <del></del>   |  | atters prosecution as to th   | ne merits is     |  |  |  |
| ا ا   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |  |   |                  |  |  |  |
|   | •  | oo anaon Ex par   | 10 Quay10, 1000 C  | .5. 11, 100 0.0. 210.   |                  |  |  |  |
| Dispositi   | on of Claims   |   |  |   |                  |  |  |  |
| 4)🛛   | )⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6 and 9-11</u> is/are pending in the application.   |   |  |   |                  |  |  |  |
|   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |   |  |   |                  |  |  |  |
| 5)  | Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |   |  |   |                  |  |  |  |
| 6)🖂   | 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6 and 9-11</u> is/are rejected.  |   |  |   |                  |  |  |  |
|   | Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |   |  |   |                  |  |  |  |
| •   | Claim(s) are subject to restrict   | ction and/or elec   | tion requirement.  |   |                  |  |  |  |
|   | on Papers  |   |  |   |                  |  |  |  |
|   | The specification is objected to by th   | o Evaminar  |  |   |                  |  |  |  |
| •   |  |   | l or b\□ objected t  | o by the Everniner  |                  |  |  |  |
| 10)   | 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |   |  |   |                  |  |  |  |
|   |  |   |  |   | DED 4 4047 IV    |  |  |  |
| 44)□:   | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including   |   | •  |   | • •              |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |  |   |  |   |                  |  |  |  |
| Priority u  | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |  |   |                  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul> |  |   |  |   |                  |  |  |  |
| 2)  Notic 3) Inforr   | e of References Cited (PTO-892)<br>e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F<br>nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>r No(s)/Mail Date   | PTO-948)  | Paper N  | v Summary (PTO-413)<br>o(s)/Mail Date<br>if Informal Patent Application                             |                  |  |  |  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kornrumpf et al (6,415,169) in view of Chastain et al (D501,558). Applicant's attention is directed Figures 1-9 of Kornrumpf et al and the detailed description thereof. Figures 7-9 clearly show the details of the split induction part. Details of the various layers of the wiring are disclosed at column 2, line 44 – column 3, line 35. The layers include soft members 24 and 30. The reference fails to disclose the particular design and range of the material films. Chastin et al disclose the particular design and range for the base material films to have been a well known design expedient (Figures 1-3). Given this teaching, it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to design Kornrumpf et al with this structure since a predictable result would ensue.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Istvan et al (7,197,357) in view of Chastain et al (D501,558). Applicant's attention is directed Figures 2 and 3 and column 4, lines 9 -56 of Istvan et al. Perforations are detailed at column 4, line 55. Details of the various layers of the wiring are disclosed at column 3, lines 30-53. The layers include soft members 22 and 24. The reference fails to disclose the particular design and range of the

Application/Control Number: 10/577,959

Art Unit: 3739

Page 3

material films. Chastin et al disclose the particular design and range for the base material films to have been a well known design expedient (Figures 1-3). Given this teaching, it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to design Istvan et al with this structure since a predictable result would ensue.

Claims 1-4, 6, 9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kornrumpf et al (6,415,169) in view of Kroll et al (4,763,660) and Chastain et al (D501,558). The circuit of Kornrumpf et al includes first and second substantially parallel segments having substantially the same shape and length. The segments have a temporary connection 37. Kornrumpf et al fail to clearly disclose the use of perforations connecting the segments. Applicant's attention is directed Figure 4 and column 4, line 48 – column 5, line 21 of Kroll et al. Perforations are detailed at column 4, line 65. Details of the various layers of the wiring are disclosed at column 5, line 22 – column 6, line 58. The layers include soft members 40 and 47.

Given the Kroll et al teaching, it would have been obvious to use perforations to connect the split induction parts in Kornrumpf et al to effect a more compact design feature since a predictable result would ensue. The use of Chastain et al applies as detailed supra. Particular dimensions and breaking strength is within the level of skill of the artisan to select to optimize performance of the apparatus.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lee S. Cohen whose telephone number is 571-272-4763. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:00-3:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/577,959 Page 4

Art Unit: 3739

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Linda Dvorak can be reached on 571-272-4764. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Lee S. Cohen
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3739

/Lee S. Cohen/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3739

February 13, 2009